

NUC 4/03

Fall: Gilpatrick speech (Home...
Jim Stoney; Yano/OK;
Larry MacQuade
(Bank mirrors - C-II) my memo

Ann Arbor speech

my BNSP

Dim corp;
HCC cables
Hunt

Iwakuni

Hallmark/HCL

Delegation

PSALM

VSCP (China; Punctuation; 325 M
no CW with SU

Missile gap - Aug - Sept 61

GEOP - Altitude calculations

NESC 1099?

O-L Punctuation...

us NATO speech - David + Holstad

Enrol Morris:

Get intercepts of Q 2/4

(see NYT 11-9-05

Sunday WIR

If he thinks I've lied (or been wrong)
tell me

If someone contradicts me, tell me
(+ then)...

Iteration of docs & interviews...

How does he disagree with me,
at this point?

How deal with Wells?

Stop camera

Randy Kehler

Nov. 29, 03

"What can I do / accomplish
which would help bring about
an activist movement

"What can wake people up... not
just

broken - sticks

"O through superior weaponry"

Hanson

statistically, the chance of a nuclear
war by 1980 approach

~~RR~~ RR

NOC

Enola Gay
(Pres conf)

Dec. 12, 3:35 AM Sunday DC

9-11-01: 2 ~~planes~~^{jets} killed

3000 people: civilians,
non-combatants ("innocent civs")

These deaths were intended,
deliberate, though randomly
selected, indiscriminate

(a third plane hit a
military target)

Thus, terrorism (in intent,
to cause terror,
also)

How many wounded
on 9-11? Still?

(like suicide
bombers:

[kamikaze, no:

(or: Pearl Harbor,
no, as with comets,

though comparable in
body-count, about

3000 military: with

X planes, Y tons of bombs

12-12-03

4

de Moya belief that we would win war by left
without A-bomb - grain of salt.

In 1945, only 2 countries could
have destroyed ^{17 sq. mi. of} Tokyo - with
300 bombs (US + UK; not G, J, SU)

only 1 country, 2 cities with 2 plans
but the percent of use not non-prov
(by US)

can now be followed ^{tomorrow} by 9 countries -
+ X more (40?) within
weeks to a year of decision to
deploy... (not "45 minutes")

US-GAR

[note, especially, of CW or BW]

[NOTE: NOTHING MADE J. SURRENDER "ALMOST CERTAIN" - NOT 2 A-
BOMBS! ("10 NEEDED")

Most Americans

(+ some Japanese +

thought fire-bombing

Guernica - see Roth!

and A-bombs were justified - of necessity

Most of world did not; (see Guernica)

and most elements of defense behind

of Americans are false (paying, if

[No machines of high - ^{any} under oath, of some)

and populace to murder on tens

everytable to of high - but US officials, or public

Centur since Dec '44, or April - May '45 ^{ONLY INVASION}

and no awareness of this of US; "no alt. steps to involve
survivors" (not rescued!)

(Indictment, at Hague? Pre-terrors law:
crimes ^{vs.} humanity? war crimes?)
In Aug 45 (56 years earlier)
2 plans caused 300,000 civ. deaths
~150,000 indirectly, ~150,000 ² near
(in addition to 900K earlier? ask Morino
67 or 68 cities?
+ 2?
what date, the last
raids? 860⁺ or 1000?)
ask: tonnage on Tokyo ^{plans?}
number of plans?
both raids?

~~German~~ German and Japanese officials
were tried, and ~~the~~ under Rongel, for
3 categories of crime: vs. peace, vs. humanity,
and war crimes [kill Bataan? POWs
Yamashita
or Russian Manchuria?

No Americans or Brits were tried;
and no G/I were tried for crimes
committed also by Allies on large scale.
(POWs?) (see Pet. Ryan). Hence Germans were not tried
for Rotterdam, Warsaw, Coventry, or the Blitz (was Japanese
for Shanghai or Chungking). Hence, no Americans

12-12-03 see 2 plans on 2
2 with H-bombs new born plants 3
A or ("minors")

Minors, US Amirals tried for
H + N (e.g. Jabbeth, de May,
Norstad / Arnold, JCS, Shaw, OST
(earlier FDR) Thyga de May + McN
acknowledge they were guilty, if
US had lost the war.

not for Honky, Barrack,
Bath the Berlin, (Mittler?)
not 68-70 cities Drummond?
in paper)

Enola Gay + Bock's can cross over not
the end B-29s to commit terrorism:
other B-29s killed more cities.

The beak-bird (≤ 10 to 11 of
MOAB!)
+ tactics
(all at night, in paper? - Cominal for?
or not necessary, the critical Compan to B-1, B-23)

Tokyo? May raid in Tokyo?

of B-29 make it a strategy of cities
look out, with H-bombs
(Did B-29 ever carry an H-bomb?)

A (4/11) B-36, B-48, 52, 58

bomb use + non-banning non-prosecution
(in 1995, ONLY 2 countries could have bombed Tokyo, H + N)
PUT THE TERROR-BOMBING OF TOKYO WITHIN THE
EVENTUAL CAP. OF ANY STATE OR GAVE WITH AN AIRLIFT

Nov 11/03

Shiva ~ non-Cen Budds

my epiphany:
majority intel was on NCF terms
(unacceptable to US, not to just anyone of people)
(probably preferably to
GVN term, even if they
could have reached over —
which to contact.

② (War was undable before
Hiroshima (May, June, July
as Indianapolis!)

ie. as then acceptable to most
of the high level officials —

① by July
ALMOST
NO MIL. thought with
the Bank of America was necessary
and those some officials
except T+B (and DeLoach,
Mohr, Hall?)

③ (and not intel analysts) behind
this was possible (some,
"very likely") and recommended
exploring it & initially T+B

or announcing (these!) things
at Potsdam!

(Much support in Congress,
Roosevelt, NYT ... (public!)

But should have been
revealed ("demonstrated"!)

[LIKE FIRE-BOMBING:

SEE FLAP OVER DRESDEN

"Terror"

!!

(Churchill)

TO US PUBLIC + CONGRESS

(esp. since not seen - by
fire-fog - by rail, as
"necessary" or probably were.
to get acceptable things
(less the UCS) + avoid
invasion

WE DID "WIN"

- despite being

Explored

AS IN C-II!

(Despite "concessions")

NWC 4/03

Tell:

C-II

Mangrove

ESL on air
protection
Kratom
de loto

invasion plans

McX and Tent missions - deal
Stevenson ...

[What if K had told us he had
the warheads?

Wf went he?

See Doomsday Machine in

|| [My fear in Iraq: SA did have
WMD's, maybe even a nuke,
and hasn't told us!

(see mission map)

McX & Ritze reactions.

Ritze - 10%

"ny" cable to Jumbo

No "civ war"

not absurd to call it: neo-
colonial

like French

I was a hawk on Berlin

(and Cuba II) but not wrt ruses

(or invasion: Cuba

"He offered to resign" for telling
the truth about missile gaps.

But that was not the end of the msg.

VFR bluff/lie is C-II ^{RFR} (invasion)

VFR bluff of EU is NATO

VFR bluff is VN: readiness to put in
troops, bombs...

(See SN bluff on WMD's

K bluff on ICBMs

K silence on ruses in Cuba, and his back
of control!

"Carphoxine"

MCN

(NFU, but)

1000 MM

~~trans~~ moves to Europe

IRBMs to Turkey

blockade ...

(ultimatum)

"light" RT

175,000

Margosat

34A

de Lott

TCR

Pete Hayes

50-100

Bush Sr. moved two wms — announced moved

Sept 28 1991 —

withdrew announced ^{ROK Pres Kim for} of Dec. 91 — actually not till Feb 92

^{secrets/under}
nautics — strategy project — SK wms —

3. withdrawn

We have documented that we planning for

Annex 5027 USFK Plan

for Korea

US reserved right to reintroduce wms in
an emergency.

Issue is in SK — should US

In part, air-burst area weapons against
advancing forces

ground-burst vs. CPs — includes Pyongyang

(ADMs)

All weapons were stored at Kunsan

Exercises to get weapons out of Korea, by
helicopter

Koreans would have loaded weapons into <sup>+203m
155mm</sup> howitzers
and providing security — they were involved in exercises
— from in Word that it would become known that
it was planned to involve K's in handling wms.



Return

But UN GA Resolution vs. FU
in Iraq or Korea
vs. WMD Distinction

(Is it politically feasible / inevitable
to drop "deterrence of CW/BW" by
threat of new FU"?)

After 9/11, antiterrorism?!

— Yes, did that have an
impact?

Who did it?!

(like assas of CIA COS
in Athens?)

after campaign on urgency of
dismantling Iraq of CW/BW!
Pauze!

Cohere (Clinton).

(For > 40 years, anti-nuc activism has
focused on abol. of nucs, or freeze/rollback,
CTB, or "stability," refused to focus on
NFU — for fear (?) of "legitimizing
Type I det., 2d use

(now focus on D-L! on proliferation
slowing)

Reflects ignorance of secret plans

D-L, proliferation
dread
FU

+ threats